

# Evaluation Revisited – Improving the Quality of Evaluative Practice by Embracing Complexity

## Description

[Tweet](#)

Utrecht Conference Report. Irene Guijt, Jan Brouwers, Cecile Kusters, Ester Prins and Bayaz Zeynalova. March 2011. [Available as pdf](#)

This report summarises the outline and outputs of the Conference ‘Evaluation Revisited: Improving the Quality of Evaluative Practice by Embracing Complexity’™, which took place on May 20-21, 2010. It also adds additional insights and observations related to the themes of the conference, which emerged in presentations about the conference at specific events.

Contents (109 pages):

- 1 What is Contested and What is at Stake
  - 1.1 Trends at Loggerheads
  - 1.2 What is at Stake?
  - 1.3 About the May Conference
  - 1.4 About the Report
- 2 Four Concepts Central to the Conference
  - 2.1 Rigour
  - 2.2 Values
  - 2.3 Standards
  - 2.4 Complexity
- 3 Three Questions and Three Strategies for Change
  - 3.1 What does ‘evaluative practice that embraces complexity’™ mean in practice?
  - 3.2 Trade-offs and their Consequences
  - 3.3 (Re)legitimise Choice for Complexity
- 4 The Conference Process in a Nutshell

## Tags

1. complexity
2. Conference papers
3. experimental methods
4. RCT
5. rigour
6. standards

## Date

11/01/2025

## Date Created

21/04/2011

## Author

admin