Oxfam study of MONITORING, EVALUATION AND LEARNING IN NGO ADVOCACY

Description

Tweet

Findings from Comparative Policy Advocacy MEL Review Project

by Jim Coe and Juliette Majot | February 2013. Oxfam and ODI

Executive Summary & Full text available as pdf

â??For organizations committed to social change, advocacy often figures as a crucial strategic element. How to assess effectiveness in advocacy is, therefore, important. The usefulness of Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) in advocacy are subject to much current debate. Advocacy staff, MEL professionals, senior managers, the funding community, and stakeholders of all kinds are searching for ways to improve practices â?? and thus their odds of success â?? in complex and contested advocacy environments. This study considers what a selection of leading advocacy organizations are doing in practice. We set out to identify existing practice and emergent trends in advocacy-related MEL practice, to explore current challenges and innovations. The study presents perceptions of how MEL contributes to advocacy effectiveness, and reviews the resources and structures dedicated to MEL.

This inquiry was initiated, funded and managed by Oxfam America. The Overseas Development Institute (ODI) served an advisory role to the core project team, which included Gabrielle Watson of Oxfam America, and consultants Juliette Majot and Jim Coe. The following organizations participated in the inquiry:ActionAid International | Amnesty International | Bread for the World | CARE, USA | Greenpeace International | ONE | Oxfam America | Oxfam Great Britain | Sierra Clubâ?•

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PURPOSE OF PAPER	10
METHODOLOGY	11
1 HOW 'MEL' IS UNDERSTOOD AND ORGANIZED	14
BALANCING FORMAL AND INFORMAL PROCESSES	
HOW MEL FUNCTIONS ARE STRUCTURED ACROSS ORGANIZATIONS	
2 MEL SYSTEMS: CONTEXT, CONTINUITY & LEARNING MOMENTS	
MEL SYSTEMS FUNCTION ACROSS INTER-LINKING LEVELS	
IMPORTANCE OF ORGANIZATIONAL CONTEXT AND CULTURE THE NARRATIVE CONTINUITY THAT RUNS THROUGH MEL SYSTEMS	
LEARNING MOMENTS	
3 PERCEPTIONS OF VALUE, PURPOSE & QUALITY OF MEL	
OVERALL. A MIXED PICTURE	
PERCEPTIONS OF VALUE AND BENEFIT DIFFER ACROSS ROLES	
4 GATHERING, ANALYSING AND USING INFORMATION	
CONCERNS AROUND EASE OF USE	27
MOVING TOWARDS MORE CONSISTENT AND HIGHER QUALITY DATA	29
ENSURING THAT THE RIGHT PEOPLE PARTICIPATE	
5 IMPORTANCE OF SENIOR MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	31
6 BALANCING CENTRALIZATION AND DECENTRALIZATION	33
7 ACCOUNTABILITIES	35
FOCUS ON ACCOUNTABILITY IS TO FUNDERS	
BALANCING LEARNING & ACCOUNTABILITY IN THEORY AND IN PRACTICE	37
8 DEFINING OUTCOMES AND ASSESSING INFLUENCE	41
HOW ORGANIZATIONS TRACK CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARDS CHANGE	41
CHALLENGES IN CAPTURING PROCESSES OF CHANGE	
TRENDS TOWARDS QUANTIFYING RESULTS	
9 DOES MEL DRIVE EFFECTIVENESS?	49
10 STRUCTURED ADVOCACY, FORMALIZED MEL	
PRINCIPLES GUIDING GOOD PRACTICE IN MEL	56
SOME FUTURE DIRECTIONS	57
APPENDIX: SURVEY DATA	58

Category

1. Uncategorized

Tags

- 1. advocacy
- 2. ODI
- 3. Oxfam

Date 04/12/2025 **Date Created** 21/04/2013 **Author**

admin