

# A CAN OF WORMS? IMPLICATIONS OF RIGOROUS IMPACT EVALUATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES

## Description

[Tweet](#)

Eric Roetman, International Child Support, Email: [eric.roetman@ic.s.nl](mailto:eric.roetman@ic.s.nl)

[3ie Working Paper 11, March 2011](#) Found courtesy of [@txtpablo](#)

## Abstract

“Development agencies are under great pressure to show results and evaluate the impact of projects and programmes. This paper highlights the practical and ethical dilemmas of conducting impact evaluations for NGOs (Non Governmental Organizations). Specifically the paper presents the case of the development organization, International Child Support (ICS). For almost a decade, all of ICS’s™ projects in West Kenya were evaluated through rigorous, statistically sound, impact evaluations. However, as a result of logistical and ethical dilemmas ICS decided to put less emphasis on these evaluations. This particular case shows that rigorous impact evaluations are more than an additional step in the project cycle; impact evaluations influence every step of the programme and project design. These programmatic changes, which are needed to make rigorous impact evaluations possible, may go against the strategy and principles of many development agencies. Therefore, impact evaluations not only require additional resources but also present organizations with a dilemma if they are willing to change their approach and programmes.”

**[RD comment:** I think this abstract is somewhat misleading. My reading of the story in this paper is that ICS’s management made some questionable decisions, not that there was something intrinsically questionable about rigorous impact evaluations per se. In the first half of the story the ICS management allowed researchers, and their methodological needs, to drive ICS programming decisions, rather than to serve and inform programming decisions. In the second half of the story the evidence from some studies of the efficacy of particular forms of participatory development seems to have been overridden by the sheer strength of ICS’s belief’s in the primacy of participatory approaches. Of course this would not be the first time that evidence has been sidelined, when an organisation’s core values and beliefs are threatened.]

## Category

1. Uncategorized

## Tags

1. 3ie
2. impact evaluation
3. NGOs

## Date

20/11/2024

## Date Created

22/05/2011

**Author**  
admin