

Learning purposefully in capacity development

Description

[Tweet](#)

Why, what and when to measure?

An opinion paper prepared for [IIEP](#), by Alfredo Ortiz and Peter Taylor, INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPMENT STUDIES (IDS), 25th July, 2008

>>[Full text](#)<<

Abstract

Many capacity development (CD) programs and processes aim at long-term sustainable which depends on seeing many smaller changes in at times almost invisible fields (rule behaviours, power, coordination etc.). Yet, most evaluation processes of CD tend to focus term outputs focused on clearly visible changes. This opinion paper will offer some ideas deal with this paradox, by examining how monitoring and evaluation (M&E) does, or could make a difference to CD. It explores whether there is something different and unique about M&E isn't addressed by predominant methods and ways of thinking about M&E, and which better addressed by experimenting with learning-based approaches to M&E of CD.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION – WHAT SHOULD MONITORING & EVALUATION (M&E) TELL US ABOUT CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT (CD)?	1
2. CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT MEANS AND ENDS – WHAT ARE WE MEASURING AND SHOULD WE MEASURE IT?	5
2.1. IN SEARCH OF PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT	5
2.2. STANDING CAPACITY	10
3. WHAT CAN WE LEARN FROM M&E OF CD DILEMMAS?	13
3.1. DEVELOPMENT BEING A PROCESS ALREADY IN MOTION	13
3.2. LINEAR VERSUS COMPLEX ADAPTIVE SYSTEMS (CAS) THINKING, PROGRAMMING AND MEASUREMENT	14
3.3. ATTRIBUTION	17
3.4. DONOR ACCOUNTING FOCUS VERSUS OPEN LEARNING APPROACHES	18
4. CONCLUDING THOUGHTS	24
4.1. INCORPORATION OF ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING APPROACHES TO M&E OF CD	26
4.2. LARGE-SCALE EXPERIMENTATION AND ACTION RESEARCH	27
4.3. USE OF THEORY OF CHANGE (TOC) APPROACHES FOR DESIGNING M&E OF CD SYSTEMS	28
4.3.1. WHAT CAN A THEORY OF CHANGE OFFER?	28
4.3.2. HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE OF TOC USE IN EFA	31
4.4. CONCLUSION	33

5. ACRONYMS 34
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY 35

Tags

- 1. capacity building
- 2. complexity

Date

22/12/2024

Date Created

13/06/2009

Author

admin