

Participatory Impact Assessment (1998)

Description

[Tweet](#)

Participatory Impact Assessment: A Report on a DFID Funded ActionAid Research Project on Methods and Indicators for Measuring the Impact of Poverty Reduction. Goyder, Hugh, Rick Davies, Winkie Williamson, and ActionAid (Organization). **1998**. ActionAid.

This does not seem to be available online anywhere, so I have scanned a copy to make it [available as a pdf](#)

It is posted here as an item of historical interest, not as a reflection of what could or should be cutting edge practice in 2013!

BEWARE: IT IS A VERY LARGE FILE

Contents pages:

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Executive summary |
| 2 | Introduction |
| 3 | Background and objectives of study |
| 3 | Initial assumptions and expectations |
| 4 | Research objectives |
| 4 | Box 1: <i>The Indicator Dilemma</i> |
| 4 | Box 2: <i>Unplanned negative impact indicators ActionAid Uganda</i> |
| 5 | The concept of Participatory Impact Assessment |
| 5 | For whom is impact assessment necessary? |
| 7 | The need for participation at all stages of a project |
| 6 | Box 3: <i>The Regional Impact Assessment Workshop and Pilot Field Study ActionAid Ghana</i> |
| 8 | Implications of the research |
| 8 | Understanding the extent of participation in impact assessment |
| 10 | Making the best use of existing monitoring systems |
| 8 | Box 4: <i>'Tracer Studies' to follow</i> |
| 10 | Box 5: <i>Community Based Monitoring Systems ActionAid Ghana</i> |
| 11 | Box 6: <i>Peoples participation in monitoring and evaluation ActionAid India</i> |
| 12 | Box 7: <i>PRA and participation ActionAid Bangladesh</i> |
| 13 | Implications of research for methodology |
| 13 | Measurement |
| 13 | Ranking exercises |
| 15 | Bangladesh country summary |
| 15 | Background |
| 15 | How the research was done |
| 16 | Summary of key findings |
| 19 | Conclusion |
| 16 | Figure 1: <i>PRA exercises used in four shomities in Netrakona and Jamalpur</i> |
| 16 | Figure 2: <i>Problem identification exercises used in Netrakona only</i> |
| 17 | Figure 3: <i>Gender differences in preferences for changes</i> |
| 20 | Figure 4: <i>New indicators identified by ActionAid Bangladesh staff</i> |
| 21 | Box 8: <i>CARE workshop on NGO evaluation experiences in Bangladesh</i> |
| 22 | India country summary |
| 22 | Background |
| 22 | How the research was done |
| 22 | Summary of key findings |
| 29 | Conclusion |
| 24 | Figure 5: <i>Preferences for change in CDC</i> |
| 26 | Figure 6: <i>Exploring change and hopes for the future ActionAid India</i> |
| 27 | Box 9: <i>Preferences in Sambhav</i> |

| | |
|----|--|
| 30 | Ghana country summary |
| 30 | Background |
| 30 | How the research was done |
| 33 | Summary of key findings |
| 37 | Conclusion |
| 31 | <i>Box 10: Community perceptions of poverty through proverbs</i> |
| 32 | <i>Box 11: Sample interview with community member to assess project relevance, participation, impact and sustainability – Agriculture and Environment sector</i> |
| 33 | <i>Box 12: Example of child record sheet</i> |
| 34 | <i>Box 13: Sample summary sheet for four children</i> |
| 35 | <i>Box 14: Community asset maps for Komaka Village</i> |
| 36 | <i>Box 15: Examples of changes to Ghana projects as a result of the Impact Assessment Study</i> |
| 38 | Uganda country summary |
| 38 | Background |
| 38 | How the research was done |
| 41 | Summary of key findings |
| 45 | Language, meanings and knowledge |
| 45 | Conclusion |
| 39 | <i>Box 16: Examples of existing community monitoring and evaluation skills</i> |
| 40 | <i>Box 17: Locally specific impact indicators in Apac</i> |
| 42 | <i>Box 18: BAP, gender issues and women's perception of impact</i> |
| 44 | <i>Box 19: Key informants on M&E: The Tax Collector's Story</i> |
| 44 | <i>Box 20: Village immersion</i> |
| 46 | Key lessons from the research |
| 46 | Filtering and organisational culture |
| 46 | Towards a culture of impact assessment in NGOs |
| 47 | The need for greater criticism of the methods themselves |
| 47 | Indicators |
| 48 | Gender |
| 51 | Conclusion |
| 52 | Glossary of terms |
| 56 | Bibliography |
| 56 | Recent ActionAid publications/reports |
| 57 | International Education Unit |
| 57 | MandE News Website |

This 1998 report was based on a set of documents produced by Rick Davies and Winkie Williamson, following their field visits to Bangladesh, India, Ghana and Uganda. The two main summary documents were:

- [Summary-Report: Methods and Indicators for Measuring the Impact of Poverty Reduction: An ODA Funded ActionAid Research Project.](#) Rick Davies and Winkie Williamson, 1997
- [Guidance Notes on Increasing the Participation of the Poor in the Assessment of the Impact of Development Interventions.](#) Rick Davies, 1997. Methods and Indicators for Measuring the Impact of Poverty Reduction: An ODA Funded ActionAid Research Project

The four country specific reports are available on request

Category

1. Uncategorized

Date

22/06/2026

Date Created

21/07/2013

Author

admin